

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, )  
v. )  
Plaintiff, )  
)  
v. )  
Case No. 3:15-cv-01784-TLW  
Sara Heather Savitz Weiss, John Andrew )  
Delaney, Sterling Davies, CPD former )  
Chiefs and Acting Chiefs [FNU] Burke, )  
Randy Scott, Ruben Santiago, Present or )  
former CPD Officers Julie Ashmore, George)  
McSwain, Former SC 5th Judicial Circuit )  
Solicitors Barney Giese, John Meadors, )  
*individually for damages and for qui tam* )  
*recovery; The McAngus, Goudelock &* )  
*Courie Law Firm, in its corporate capacity* )  
*for damages and for qui tam recovery;* )  
*Jeanette McBride, officially as RC's Clerk* )  
*of Court for injunctive relief and* )  
*individually for damages; The City of* )  
Columbia SC, City of Columbia SC Police )  
Department (CPD), *for injunctive and* )  
*declaratory relief and for qui tam recovery;* )  
Dinah Gail Steel, Larry Wayne Mason, )  
*individually for damages; Mark Keel, as* )  
*Chief of SC's State Law Enforcement* )  
*Division (SLED), William Nettles, as U.S.* )  
*Attorney for the District of South Carolina* )  
(D.S.C.), *solely officially and solely for* )  
*injunctive and declaratory relief; Daniel* )  
Johnson, *as SC's Fifth Judicial Circuit's* )  
*Solicitor, Gary Watts, as Coroner for* )  
*Richland County, SC, Steven Benjamin,* )  
*as Mayor, All Members of the City of* )  
Columbia Council, Teresa Wilson, *manager* )  
*for the City, and all their subordinates and* )  
*agents who intend to injury Plaintiff, solely* )  
*officially and solely for injunctive and* )  
*declaratory relief and for qui tam recovery;* )  
and Robert Eldon Hood, *as Current SC's* )  
*Fifth Judicial Circuit's Administrative* )  
*Judge for General Sessions, solely officially* )

*and solely for injunctive if declaratory relief)  
is unavailable or for declaratory relief if )  
available, )  
Defendants. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )*

## **ORDER**

On June 15, 2015, Plaintiff filed a Motion for an Extension of Time to Supplement her Objections to the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) issued by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges. (Doc. #13). Plaintiff timely filed “preliminary objections” to the Report (Doc. #12), but she seeks additional time in which to supplement those objections.

After careful consideration, Plaintiff’s Motion for an Extension of Time is hereby **GRANTED**. (Doc. #13). Plaintiff is hereby directed to file any additional objections to the Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days of entry of this Order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten

Terry L. Wooten  
Chief United States District Judge

June 17, 2015  
Columbia, South Carolina